

| | | |
|--|----------------|-----------------|
| <p style="text-align: center;"><u>REQUEST FOR</u> <u>RECONSIDERATION</u> <u>AFTER FINAL</u></p> | Application # | 10/564,358 |
| | Confirmation # | 9201 |
| | Filing Date | May 18, 2006 |
| | First Inventor | MILLER |
| | Art Unit | 1793 |
| | Examiner | Shevin, Mark L. |
| | Docket # | P08836US00/BAS |

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

S I R:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed January 22, 2009, Applicants submit the following response.

Claims 1-17, 19 and 20 are pending in the present application but rejected on prior art grounds. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider the prior art rejections in view of the foregoing and find all claims allowable and the application in condition for allowance based on discussed which follows.

Claims 1-6, 17 and 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over GR 1001555 (hereinafter "Agatzini") in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,044,096 (hereinafter "Queneau"), U.S. Patent No. 5,642,863 (hereinafter "Patzelt") and U.S. Patent No. 4,173,519 (hereinafter "Parker"). In the response to Response to Applicant's Arguments section, part five the outstanding Office Action, the Examiner refutes Applicants' prior argument that it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine various aspects of the cited prior art processes to arrive at the claimed process. Contrary to statements made in the Response to Applicant's Arguments section, Applicants respectfully submit that one of ordinary skill